

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,539	(06/25/2003	Stephen Penrice	20339.16	4064
49358	7590	11/29/2005		EXAM	INER
CARLTON		•		COBURN, C	ORBETT B
	1201 WEST PEACHTREE STREET 3000 ONE ATLANTIC CENTER ART UNIT				PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA,	GA 303	09		3714	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			e	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Office A. C.	10/603,539	PENRICE, STEPHEN	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Corbett B. Coburn	3714	
eriod for		nication appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
WHICH - Extension after SIX - If NO period - Failure to Any rep	EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N ons of time may be available under the provisions X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum st to reply within the set or extended period for reply	FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MG MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a remunication. tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y will, by statute, cause the application to become AB after the mailing date of this communication, even if the state of t	CATION. pply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>14 July 2005</u> .		
2a)⊠ T	his action is FINAL.	2b) This action is non-final.		
3)∐ S	ince this application is in condition	for allowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
cl	losed in accordance with the pract	ice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition	n of Claims			
4)⊠ C	claim(s) <u>25-34,37-42 and 58-61</u> is/a	are pending in the application.		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<u>// 37-42</u> is/are withdrawn from conside	eration.	
·	claim(s) is/are allowed.			
•	Claim(s) <u>58-61</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	-ti		
8)[] (claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election requirement.		
Application	n Papers			
•	ne specification is objected to by the			
, —	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•	
	., , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	• •	
	•	g the correction is required if the drawing(•	
11)∐ Ir	ne oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. Note the attached	Oπice Action or form P1O-152.	
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim All b)	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1	. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received.		
	. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received in A	pplication No	
2	·	of the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
	application from the Internation	and Burgay (DCT Bula 17.2(a))		
3	, ,	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). on for a list of the certified copies not		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/603,539 Page 2

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claim 25 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

The previous claims (Invention I) and the current claims (Invention II) are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as a game without wildcards. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 2. Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 25-34 & 37-42 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.
- 3. Applicant should note that the amendment of claim 25 completely changes the games claimed. In the unamended claims, the game was concerned with replacing matching indicia (i.e., wildcards). The amended claims have nothing about replacing matching indicia. Instead, the amended claims are concerned with the random multiplier. These are completely different games requiring completely different searches.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 59-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3714

The limitation, "the random multiplier being independent of an outcome of said game" is not supported in the specification and is new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 58-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Banyai in view of Heidel et al. (US Patent Number 5,342,047).

Claims 58-61: Banyai teaches the invention substantially as claimed (including a lottery controller with processor and memory coupled to the lottery terminals) – see previous office action, which is hereby incorporated by reference. Banyai does not teach printing tickets. Heidel teaches a video lottery system that includes a ticket printer (48). Printing tickets for video lottery systems is extremely well known to the art. Such tickets provide a record of play (as required by gaming regulations) as well as allowing video lottery terminals to be placed in non-secure areas by separating the cash paid as a prize from the machine itself. In order to provide a record of play, the tickets would necessarily include the indicia of the first type with one or more of the indicia of the first type replaced with indicia of the second type – i.e., it would list the indicia played/winning combination. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the system of Banyai in view of Heidel to include a ticket printer in order to provide a record of play (as required by gaming regulations) and allow the video

Application/Control Number: 10/603,539 Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

lottery terminals to be placed in non-secure areas by separating the cash paid as a prize from the machine itself.

Claim 59: Banyai teaches that the controller is programmed to randomly associate the multiplier factor with said indicia of a second type. If the winning combination is dependent on the presence of a wild indicium (indicia of the second type), then the prize value is adjusted. (Col 6, 33-36) The multiplier itself is not dependent on the outcome of the game -- the award of the prize depends on the outcome, not the multiplier.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 14 July 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 8. With regard to claim 58, Applicant argues that Banyai fails to teach a lottery controller that is programmed to replace first indicia with second indicia. This limitation is no longer in the claim.
- 9. With respect to claims 59-61, the arguments are drawn to the claims as amended and are addressed in the rejection above.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Corbett B. Coburn whose telephone number is (571) 272-4447. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30, Monday-Friday, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Corbett B. Coburn

flale

Examiner Art Unit 3714